IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 16406 of 2004

Between:

B. Gopal, E. 81641, Sr.Assistant,

S/o. Somla, 52 years, R/o Miryalaguda,

Miryalaguda Depot, Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The APSRTC, Rep. by its Regional Manager,
   Nalgonda Region, Nalgonda.
2. The APSRTC, Rep. by its Depot Manager,
   Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the 1st Respondent herein in not considering the review petition filed by the petitioner on 24.07.2002 against the punishment orders dt. 31-7-84, which was confirmed by the appellate authority vide Proc. No. 01/19(39)/2002-DVM, Suryapet, Dt. 6-4-2002 as illegal, non-est in law and as such liable to be set aside by directing the respondents to consider the review petition filed by the petitioner on merits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.M.SUBHAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1&2: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD, Standing Counsel for A.P.S.R.T.C.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No.16406 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner contends that he is working as a Senior Assistant. He was imposed a penalty of deferment of annual increments for a period of three years with cumulative effect through the order dated 31.07.1984 of the Depot Manager, Suryapet. He filed a review petition before the first respondent on 24.07.2002.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the first respondent so far did not pass any orders on the review petition filed by him. Therefore, he approached this Court to direct the respondents to consider the review petition and pass appropriate orders on the said review petition.

3. In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the first respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders according to Rules on the review petition filed by the petitioner on 24.07.2002 within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU,J

Date:14th September, 2004.

Cs/KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1.The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Nalgonda Region,

Nalgonda.

2.The Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Miryalaguda, Nalgonda

District.

3.Two C.D. copies.